# Efficacy of certain insecticides and alternative agrochemicals in controlling aphids and their side effects on the predator *Coccinella undecimpunctata* in Eggplant fields.

### R. A. Emam\*, and O. A. Zedan.

Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assiut, Egypt.

\* Corresponding author E-mail: rabeeasd9@gmail.com (R. Emam)

### **ABSTRACT**

Five common insecticides (imidacloprid, emamectin benzoate, abamectin, thiamethoxam and Lambada-cyhalothrin), their mixtures with mancozeb and certain non- synthetic agrochemicals (Hydrogen Peroxide 35%, Calcium aluminum sulphate (Alum), Palm oil, lime sulphur and mixture from lime sulphur + Boric acid were evaluated to control Aphids in Egg plants under field conditions. Their selectivity against *Coccinella undeciumpunctata* were also studied during 2023 season. The results showed that insecticide and non- synthetic agrochemicals were highly effective in controlling *Aphis gossypii*, Also, the mancozeb gave an additive effect for all tested insecticide. Insecticide and non-synthetic agrochemicals were highly safe on *C. undeciumpunctata* except lambda-cyhalothrin. Average maximum reduction (%) of *A. gossypii* were achieved by imidacloprid (80.9%) followed by acetamiprid and lambada-cyhalothrin (73.7 and 73.7%) followed by abamectin (72.6%) and the last one was emamectin benzoate by (68.7%), respectively in 2023 seasons.

Keywords: non-synthetic agrochemicals; common pesticides; aphid; selectivity; Egg plants.

### INTRODUCTION

Eggplant with great genetic diversity and morphological is present and famous as an economically main vegetable particularly in the Mediterranean regions and Asia, (Chapman, 2020). Eggplant, Solanum melongena L. (Solanaceae), remains the third principal produce in the Solanaceae species after tomato and potato. (Chapman, 2019). Because of its great contented of biologically active compounds, minerals and vitamins, eggplant stays one of the valuable vegetables for human health in terms of foods importance (Docimo et al., 2016). Aphids, Aphis gossypii Glover, is considered a key pest in eggplant field, (Yadav and Kumawat, 2013). The impacts of this pest include not only decreased productivity, but also actual harm to affected plants (Bosquee et al., 2018), and the ability to transfer viruses. These insect pests harm an extensive variety of economic plants all over the world. Its feeding on the sap causes a dramatic decrease in the marketability of yields, honeydew production and necrosis spots and chlorosis, (Nampeera et al., 2020), (Javed and Qiu, 2020). These characteristics make aphids a great dangerous pest on a broad kinds of orchards, greenhouses, and crops, (Blackman and Eastop, 2007). Although chemical treatment is the most common method for eliminating aphids, it has a number of problems, including harmful residues on foods, negative impacts on non-target species, insecticide resistance, and the spread of secondary pests. (Jordan et al., 2020). As a

result, the use of pesticide has to be altered using efficient and ecofriendly tactics., (Ghorbanian et al., 2019). (Hamdy and Ahmed, 2014). Farmers' extensive and careless use of insecticides is having a negative impact on the bio ecosystem's natural and natural biological control methods. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct investigations on potential harmless insecticide alternatives. In order to reduce aphid pests, this study aims to evaluate the toxicity of five common insecticide, and four non-synthetic agrochemicals, and their selectivity against adult of C. undeciumpunctata on the eggplant crop. Also, the effect of combining with mancozeb on their toxicity against Aphis gossypii was examined.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The trials were designed to examine several natural materials, insecticides, and their synergism as alternatives pesticides in controlling aphid pests in the field, as well as the selectivity against *C. undeciumpunctata*, on the aborigine crop. The studies were carried out in the experimental fields of the plant protection department, Faculty of Agriculture AL-AZhar University Assiut region through 2023 season.

### The experimental plan

The trials were designed with Randomised Complete Block with three replicates and ten treatments. The pesticide dose as ministry of Agriculture recommendation.

The common insecticides were obtained from Shora chemical company, Cairo Egypt

The non-synthetic agrochemicals were supplied by El-Gomhoreia Co., Cairo, Egypt. All tested materials are shown in Table 1. All agriculture practices were done according to Ministry of agriculture recommendations and excepting treatments of non-synthetic agrochemicals different doses were used. Also, mancozeb was added (0.5ml per Litters water) to common pesticides and applied in other trails at the same time. Aphids numbers on eggplant were at determined on 0 randomly plants in each replicate pre and 1,3,7, and 10 days (day 24 Horus) post treatment. The undeciumpunctata population C. determined also and recorded at the same intervals by counting adult and larvae on upper, middle and lower leaves of ten different plants, selected randomly in each replicate. The average no. of replicates was calculated and reduction percentage were calculated according to (Henderson and Tilton, 1955) equation.

# Reduction %=(1-((Ta\*Cb)/(Tb\*Ca)X100

Where: Ta is % No. post- treatment; Tb is No. pre-treatment; Cb is Avg.No. pre-treatment for the control. Ca is Aveg No. post-treatment for the control.

### Determination of selectivity degree

To determine the selectivity of different treatments against bee colony individuals, the mortality % in bee population were calculated and Metcalf Scheme (Metcalf, 1972) was adopted as follows.

Reduction % degree of selectivity Reduction % in Bees degree of selectivity

Less than 25% good selective 25-49% selective

49-79 % medium selective 79-89 % slab selective

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Reduction percentages in *A. gossypii* infestation after insecticides application in the eggplant field.

Data in table (2) Showed that average maximum reduction (%) of *A. gossypii* were achieved by imidacloprid (80.9%) followed by acetamiprid and lambada-cyhalothrin (73.7 and 73.7%) followed by abamectin (72.6%) and the last one was emamectin benzoate by (68.7%), respectively in 2023 seasons. These findings are consistent with those obtained by (Abd-Ella 2014), who reported that

neonicotinoid, acetamiprid, and imidacloprid were particularly active on the Cowpea aphid under laboratory and field conditions. Emamectin benzoate was found to be efficient in suppressing *Aphis craccivora* on cabbage and beans by (Fening *et al.*, 2014). (Hossain *et al.*, 2012) showed that combining cotton seeds with imidacloprid reduced the pest population of aphids *A. gossypii* and *Bimisia tabaci* on the CB3 cotton cultivar

# Field efficacy of tested agrochemicals against *A. gossypii* infestation in eggplants field.

Data in table (3) showed that the least average of reduction percentage was 62% in 2023 season after application of hydrogen peroxide 35% and there was no significant difference between Alum, Palm oil, and Lime sulfur. These results are in agreement with those gotten by (Caroline, 2004) reported that borates and boric acid controlled populations of Thrips tabaci, fungi, algae, bugs and A. gossypii. (Gamal et al., 2009) alum and citric acid were made as soluble powder preparations (90% Sp). Under filed conditions, the pesticidal activity of together preparations on the Ferrisia virgata on Sweet Acacia was calculated. After three days from treatment, the tested compounds indicated a little harmfulness at that point their activity improved regularly to allow the maximum efficiency after 18 days. The decrease in performing calculations after 18 days were 385.9 and 93. at 125 ppm in the case of alum and citric acid respectively (Abdel-Hafeez et al., 2020) controlled Peach t Fly, Bactrocera zonata using Boric Acid as a safety insecticide. (Zamberlan and Froncheti, 1994) showed that Lime sulfur can be used within the whole vegetative various crops and is efficient in the winter treatment of fruit trees by its properties as an insecticide, miticide, bactericide, fungicide, and source of calcium and sulfur. Its activity against agents that cause fine mold, late bright, pink disease, brown decay, apple scab, and controlling vermin and bothers of two-spotted orange rust, leprose and coarse bugs legitimize its use.

(Franciele *et al.*, 2020) used lime sulfur and Bordeaux mixture as non-synthetic agrochemicals built on sulfur, copper, and calcium that are high safe substitutions to the unsafety practice of pesticides and used to control pests and treat diseases for example aphids and scale insects. The Bordeaux combination is valuable to different vegetable yields to dodge maladies contains lime and copper sulfate. These mixtures are applied in winter controlling on *Prunus* sp., *Malus* 

domestica, Vitis sp and has activity repellent, bactericidal and fungicidal activity against insects. Lime sulfur is active in managing scale insects and bugs, (Venzon *et al.*, 2016).

# Impact of mancozeb to enhance the efficiency of tested insecticides against aphids in eggplant field.

Influence of tank mix of mancozeb on the efficiency of common insecticides against aphids at eggplant filed are shown in Table (4). The results showed that used mancozeb combination with insecticides gave an additive effect and increasing aphids controlling with percentages ranged from 0.27 to 5.15% and enhanced control over separate application of these compounds and they are compatible with each other for spray used to control the aphids. The highest reduction percentage was obtained by imidacloprid (83%) and the lowest was obtained by, emamectin benzoate (69.2%). These results are in agreement with the results of (Maniania and Sithanantham, 2003) who reported that thrips damage and density were significantly decreased in the chemical insecticide and fungal managements.

# Selectivity degree of common insecticides against *C. undeciumpunctata* on the eggplant field.

According to data in Table (5), the studied chemicals were not harmful undeciumpunctata. The percentages reduction in C. undeciumpunctata population after insecticide application alone on eggplant field were 11.5, 13, 13.5, 23, and 31.2, for emamectin benzoate, imidacloprid, acetamiprid, abamectin, and lambadacyhalothrin, respectively. The degree of selectivity for all insecticides was good, with the exception of lambada-cyhalothrin, which was selective.

(Sun et al., 2007) evaluated the toxicity of chlorpyrifos 48%EC, omethoate 40%EC, cypermethrin 4.5%EC, imidacloprid 10%EC, and acetamiprid 3%EC on wheat aphids in the field. The reduction in wheat aphid numbers was greater than 90% after 7 days of management. All chemicals tested had a negative effect on coccinellidae (lady beetles) and aphidius three days after treatments with insecticides, and their populations declined significantly. However, the overall number of coccinellidae (lady beetles) and aphidius increased on the seventh day, and there were differences among those treated with abamectin. imidacloprid, acetamiprid. According to (Bernard et al., 2004), who suggested that any side adverse

effects of insecticides on natural enemies might not be caused by the active ingredient, but rather by other factors.

# Reduction percentage in the population of *C. undeciumpunctata* in eggplant field after application of agrochemicals.

Table (6) illustrates that the number of adults and larvae of C. undeciumpunctata in eggplant fields does not differ in all treatment's pre-spray the non-synthetic agrochemicals alone in the 2023 season. The tested non-synthetic agrochemicals were extremely safe for beneficial arthropods. The mean reduction percentages for all compounds tested on C. undeciumpunctata were 10.1, 16, 17.1, 8.3 and 12, respectively for (Alum, lime sulphur, limtop, Hydrogen Peroxide 35%, and palm oil). Each molecule had a high degree of selectivity, while Hydrogen Peroxide had the greatest one (35%). Non-systemic insecticides outperformed systemic insecticides in their selectivity to C. undeciumpunctata in the Eggplant field. This outcome is consistent with the findings of (IRAC, 2018), which found that lime sulfur was more harmless to Chrysoperla externa than Coleomegilla quadrifasciata. This item was rated as dangerous, with a class 1 (harmless) rating for coccinellid adults, a class 2 (somewhat harmful) rating for coccinellid larvae, and a class 4 (damaging) rating for both Chrysopidae stages. The tested non-synthetic agrochemicals were extremely safe beneficial arthropods. This item was rated as dangerous, with a class 1 (harmless) rating for coccinellid adults, a class 2 (somewhat harmful) rating for coccinellid larvae, and a class 4 (damaging) rating for both chrysopid stages. Sulfur dioxide (SO2) and sulfur gas (H<sub>2</sub>S) produced by lime sulfur halt respiration. (Tuelher et al., 2014) showed that the toxicity of calcium + sulfur on Iphiseiodes zuluagai is dosage dependent, with higher doses than the recommended range of 20 to 40 mL/Litter causing a significant decline in this insect population in coffee fields. When employed at low doses on O. laevigatus, these combinations may have a deleterious impact on generative ability and have sublethal effects. ( Biondi et al., 2012)

#### CONCLUSION

It was concluded that application of imidacloprid, hydrogen Peroxide 35% Alum, Palm oil, and Lime sulfur were highly effective in controlling *A. gossypii* and high safe on *C. undeciumpunctata*.

### **REFERENECES**

- Abdel-Hafeez, T.A., Seham M.E., Afia, Y.E. 2020: Boric acid compounds absorb via wax layer of the insects outer surface, causing them to dry out and die. J. of Plant Protection and Pathology, Mansoura Univ.11 (5):253 257.
- Abd-Ella, A.A. 2014: Toxicity and persistence of selected neonicotinoid insecticides on cowpea aphid, *Aphis craccivora* Koch (Homoptera:Aphididae). Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 47 (3): 366-376.
- Abdelrahman, M.Y. 2007: Field evaluation of certain pesticides against the cotton bollworm in Minia region of Egypt. Beltwide Cotton Conferences, New Orleans, Louisiana, January 9-12,1670-1681.
- Bernard, M.B., Horne, P.A., Hoffmann, A.A. 2004: Developing an Ecotoxicological Testing Standard for Predatory Mites in Australia: Acute and Sublethal Effects of Fungicides on *Euseius victoriensis* and *Galendromus occidentalis* (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 97(3) 891-899.
- Biondi, A., Desneux, N., Sicaro, G., Zappalà, L. 2012: Using non-syntheticcertified rather than synthetic pesticides may not be safer for biological control agents: selectivity and side effects of 14 pesticides on the predator Orius laevigatus. Chemosphere 87(7):803-12.
- Blackman, R.L., Eastop, V.F. 2007: Taxonomic issues. In Aphids as Crop Pests; Van Emden, H.F., Harrington, R., Eds.; CABI: Wallingford, UK; pp. 1–29.
- Bosquee, E., Boullis, A., Bertaux, M., Francis, F., Verheggen, F.J. 2018: Dispersion of *Myzus persicae* and transmission of potato virus Y under elevated CO2 atmosphere. Entomol. Exp. Appl., 166, 380–385.
- Caroline, C. 2004: Boric acid and borates. J. Pesticide Reform,24(2): 10-15.
- Chapman, M.A. 2019: Introduction: The importance of eggplant. In The Eggplant Genome; Chapman, M., Ed.; Springer: Cham Switzerland; pp. 1–10.
- Chapman, M.A. 2020: Eggplant breeding and improvement for future climates. In Genomic Designing of Climate-Smart Vegetable Crops;Kole, C., Ed.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland,; pp. 257–276.
- Cho, J.R., Kim, Y.J., Kim, H.S., Yoo, J.K. 2002: Some biochemical evidence on the selective insecticide toxicity between the two aphids, *Aphis citricola* and *Myzus malisuctus* (Homoptera: Aphididae), and their predator, *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). J. Asia-Pacific Entomol. 5, 49-53.
- Docimo, T., Francese, G., Ruggiero, A., Batelli, G., De Palma, M., Bassolino, L. 2016:

- Phenylpropanoids accumulation in eggplant fruit:Characterization of biosynthetic genes and regulation by a MYB transcription factor. Front. Plant. Sci., 6, 1233.
- Fening, K.O., Adama, I., Tegbe, R.E. 2014: On farm evaluation of homemade pepper extract in the management of pests of cabbage, *Brassica oleraceae* L., and French beans, Phaseolus vulgaris L., in two agro-ecological zones in Ghana. African Entomology, 22 (3): 552-560.
- Franciele, S.D., Anderson, D.G., Dori, E.N., Rafael, A.P. 2020: Non-target toxicity of nine agrochemicals toward larvae and adults of two generalist predators active in peach orchards. Ecotoxicology 29:327–339.
- Gamal, H.M., Ashraf, M., Fatma, A.M. 2009: Evaluation of soluble powder formulation of alum and citric acid as alternative pesticides against mealy bugs under filed conditions. Egypt. Acad. J. biolog. Sci., 1 (1): 69 – 72.
- Ghorbanian, M., Fathipour, Y., Talebi, A.A., Gadi, V.P.R. 2019: Different pepper cultivars affect performance of second (*Myzus persicae*) and third (Diaeretiella rapae) trophic levels. J. Asia Pac. Entomol., 22, 194–202.
- Grafton, C.E., Gu, P. 2003: Conserving Vidalia beetle, Rodalia cardinalis (Mulsant) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), in citrus: a continuing challenge as new insecticides gain registration. J. Econ. Ent. 96(5): 1388-1398.
- Hamdy, K., Abou, T., Ahmed, A.B. 2014: Effectiveness of some insecticides for eggplant sucking piercing insects management with reference to their side effects on natural enemies. Alexandria science exchange journal.35, (2)126-131.
- Henderson, C.F., Telton, E.W. 1955: Test with acaricides against the bron wheat mite. J. Econ. Entomol.48:157-161.
- Hossain, S.M.A., Baque, M.A., Amin, M.R., Chun, I.J. 2012: Field Evaluation of Imidacloprid as an Insecticidal Seed Treatment of Cotton Cultivar with Particular References to Sucking Pest, Predator and Yield. Our Nature.10:44-52.
- IRAC, Insecticide Resistance Action Committee 2018: The Irac classification: an interactive mode of action (MoA) tool. Disponívelem: <a href="http://www.irac-">http://www.irac-</a> online.org/modes-of-action/. Accessed05 Dec 2018
- Javed, K., Qiu, D. 2020: Protein elicitor PeBL1 of *Brevibacillus laterosporus* enhances resistance against *Myzus persicae* in tomato. Pathogens, 9, 57.
- Jordan, M.O., Sauge, M.H., Vercambre, G. 2020: Chemical and growth traits of the peach tree may induce higher infestation rates of the green peach aphid, Myzus persicae (Sulzer). Pest Manag. Sci., 76, 797–806.

Maniania, N.K., Sithanantham, S. 2003: A field trial of the entomogenous fungus *Metarhiziumanisopliae*for control of onion thrips, *T.tabaci*. Crop Prot., 22(3):553–559.

Metcalf, R.L. 1973: Development of selective and biodegradable pesticides. Pestcontrol strategies for the future.*P.136-137.Nat. Acad. Sciences Washington.* 

Nampeera, E.L., Blodgett, S., O'Neal, M.E., Nonnecke, G.R., Murungi, L.K., Abukutsa-Onyango, M.O., Wesonga, J.M. 2020: Resistance of Amaranthus spp. to the green peach aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae). J. Econ. Entomol., 113, 1299–1306.

Sun, H., You-fen, S.J.Z., Xing-bo, L., Sheng-ji, W., Chong-liang, Y. 2007: Effects of different pesticides on wheat aphids and natural enemies. J. Triticeae Crops, 03: (38):1009-1041.

Tuelher, E.S., Venzon, M., Guedes, R.N.C., Pallini, A. 2014: Toxicity of non-synthetic-coffee-approved products to the southern red mite Oligonychus ilicis and to its predator Iphiseiodes zuluagai. Crop Protection 55:28–34.

Venzon, M., Diez-Rodríguez, G.I., Ferraz, C.S., Lemos, F., Nava, D.E., Pallin, A. 2016: Manejo agroecológico das pragas das fruteiras. Informe Agropecuário 37(293):94–103.

Yadav, S.R., Kumawat, K.C. 2013: Assessment of crop losses due to insect pests of eggplant, Solanum melongena L. Insect Environment, 19: 16-18.

Zamberlan, A.F., Froncheti, A. 1994: Agricultura Alternativa: Um Enfrentamento a agricultura quimica. Passo Fundo: Ed. Berthien. 167 p.

**Table 1:** Insecticides and agrochemicals applied in this study.

Trade name	Trade name Common name								
Insecticides used									
Penzo 5.7%	Penzo 5.7% emamectin benzoate								
Lambagoc 5% EC	lambda-cyhalothrin	500 ml							
Magnock 70% WG	imidacloprid	60 gm							
Abamectin	abamectin 2%	60 ml							
Aceta gold 20%SP	acetamiprid	50 gm							
	Agrochemicals used								
Alum 17%	Alum (potassium aluminum sulfate),	200 gm							
	molecular weight (474.00)	200 gm							
Lime sulphur 15%	Sulphur+Hydo	200 ml							
Limtop	Limtop lime sulphur15% + Boric acid5%								
Hydrogen Peroxide 35%	Hydrogen Peroxide 35% H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>								
Palm oil	Palm oil								
Fungicide used as a synergist									
Dithane M-45 80 WP	mancozeb	750gm							
	Check								

**Table 2:** Reduction percentages in *A. gossypii* infestation after insecticides application in the eggplant field.

	Reduc	Damagada				
Insecticides	Pre – applying county	1 day Initial kill	3-days post treatments	7- days	10 days	- Percentage reduction
emamectin	9	7.8	16	62	159	68.7
benzoate	*R%	56.5	77.1	79.6	61.5	00.7
abamectin	9	8	9	73	117	72.6
abamecum	*R%	61.3	87.3	73.3	68.5	72.6
1	9	7	7	60	143	73.7
acetamiprid	*R%	66.2	88.9	78.1	61.5	73.7
lambada-	10	8	8	70	150	70.7
cyhalothrin	*R%	65.2	88.6	77	63.9	73.7
	10	5	5	55	120	00.0
imidacloprid	*R%	78.2	92.9	81.9	70.9	80.9
Control	10	20	70	304	413	-

**Table 3:** Field efficacy of tested agrochemicals against *A. gossypii* infestation on eggplants.

	Reduction pe Pre –	Reduction percentage of aphid after applying material indicated Horus.						
Agrochemicals	applying	24 hrs.	72 hrs	240 hrs	336 hrs	for the reduction		
	county					mean		
Hydrogen	9	7	15	107	207	60		
Peroxide 35%	*R%	61	76.2	60.1	44	60		
Alum	10	8	15	87	185	66.2		
Alum	*R%	60	78.6	71	55	00.2		
Palm oil	10	9	13	78	165	67.5		
railli oli	*R%	55	81	74	60	67.3		
Lima Culmhun	9	8	12	65	143	68.4		
Lime Sulphur	*R%	55.6	81	76	61	00.4		
Limtop	10	6	9	59	127	76.5		
	*R%	70	87	80.1	69	70.3		
Control	10	20	70	304	413	-		

**Table 4:** Impact of mancozeb to enhancement the efficiency of tested insecticides against aphids in eggplant field.

	Percent re		of infestatio icated days	%Aveg.	Aveg. %	% of additive		
Insecticides	Pre-spray county	one day	3- days	5- days	10- days	reduction	insectic- ide alone	mancozib to insecticide
emactin benzoat +	8	5	15	68	123	69.2	68.7	0.72
mancozeb	*R%	68.8	73.2	72	62.8	09.2	00.7	0.72
abamectin +	9	9	6.5	76	117	72.8	72.6	0.27
mancozeb	*R%	61	89.7	72	68.5	72.0		
acetamiprid +	10	7	7	62	134	75.6	73.7	2.59
mancozeb	*R%	65	90	79.7	67.6	75.6	73.7	2.39
lambada-	9	5	8	58	105			
cyhalothrin + mancozeb	*R%	72	87.3	78.8	71.8	77.5	73.7	5.15
Imidacloprid +	8	3	4	47	73	02	90.0	2.50
mancozeb	*R%	81	92.9	80.7	77.9	83	80.9	2.59
Control	10	20	70	304	413	-		

**Table 5:** The reduction in numbers of *C. undeciumpunctata* after application of insecticide alone on the eggplant field.

Insecticides		n percentag rvals post		Avg, Reduction	Degree of selectivity according to Metclf		
	one day	3- days	7- days	10-days		scheme	
Emactin benzoate	23	11	9	3	11.5	Good selective	
Imidacloprid	27	15	7	3	13	Good selective	
acetamiprid	26	13	9	6	13.5	Good selective	
abamectin	38	27	18	9	23	Good selective	
lambada-cyhalothrin	47	38	23	17	31.2	Selective	

**Table 6:** Reduction percentage in the population of *C. undeciumpunctata* in eggplant field after application of agrochemicals.

agrochemicals	%Reduc	ction percenta tı	%Average	Degree of selectivity		
	one day	3- days	7- days	10- days	reduction	acoording to Metclf scheme
Alum	22	11	5.4	2	10.1	Good selective
Lime sulphur	28	15	21	-	16 .0	Good selective
Limtop	31.5	18	11.9	7	17.1	Good selective
Hydrogen Peroxide 35%	15	7	11.2	-	8.3	Good selective
Palm oil	25	12	8.00	3	12	Good selective

فاعلية المبيدات الحشرية الشائعة وبعض الكياويات الزراعية غير المصنعة في مكافحة حشرة الم في محصول الباذنجان واختاريتها على Coccinella undeciumpunctata

ربيع على إمام على \*, أسامة عبدالفتاح عبدالشافي زيدان

قسم وقاية نبات, كلية الزراعة، جامعة الأزهر،اسيوط، مصر.

\* البريد الإلكتروني للباحث الرئيسي:rabeeasd9@gmail.com

## الملخص العربي

أجريت هذه التجربة في مزرعة كلية الزارعة جامعة الازهر بأسيوط لتقدير فاعلية خمسة من المبيدات الشائعة وهم الابامكتين والاسيتامبريد والايمامكتين بنزوات والاميداكلوبريد واللمباداسيهالوثرين وكذلك خمسة مواد غير مصنعة وهي سلفات الالمونيوم (الشبه),الكبريت الجيرى رايم سلفر)، الكبريت الجيرى مضاف اليه حمض البوريك، ماء الاكسجين أوأخيرا زيت النخيل في خفض الاصابة بالمن على محصول الباذنجان. كما درس قابلية خلط المانكوزيب مع المبيدات المستخدمة وتأثيره علي كفاءة المبيدات في مكافحة المن. كما درس تأثير المبيدات السابقة والمواد غير المصنعة على أبو العيد في الحقل. وكانت النتائج كالتالي أعطت جميع المركبات نسبة خفض للإصابة بالمن على محصول الباذنجان وكذلك أدت اضافة المانكوزيب الي زيادة غير معنوية في نسبة الحفض علي المن وكانت كل المواد المستخدمة آمنة على أبو العيد في الحقل حيث كانت جيدة الاختيارية ما عدا مركب اللمبادا سيهالوثرين كان بدرجة اختيارية اختياري فقط.

الكلمات الاسترشادية: الباذنجان, مبيدات الحشرات, المن, البدائل, المكافحة.