Efficacy of several chemical fungicides and biofungicides for controlling damping-off and root rot diseases in common bean under field conditions

R. M. El-Kholy, A. M. El-Samadesy, A. A. Helalia, and M. M. Hassuba*

Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azher University, Cairo, Egypt

*Corresponding author E-mail: hassuba@azhar.edu.eg (M. Hassuba)

ABSTRACT

Fusarium solani, Rhizoctonia solani, Pythium ultimum and Sclerotium rolfsii cause different bean diseases under field conditions. This study aims to evaluate the efficacy of four chemical fungicides and three biofungicides against damping-off and root rot diseases in common bean. The four fungicides included Tendro 40% FS (carboxin+thiram), Maxim XL 3.5% FS (fludioxonil+metalaxyl-M), Hattric 6% FS (tebuconazole) and Rizolex-T 50% WP (tolclofos-methyl+thiram). While the used biofungicides included Rhizo-N [(30 million cell g-1) (Bacillus subtilis)], Biocontrol T 34 12% WP (Trichoderma asperellum), and Plant Guard [(30 million cell ml-1) (Trichoderma harzianum)]. Each kind if fungicide or biofungicides was used under two application rates. The results indicated that, chemical fungicides were more effective than the biofungicides, and all the tested compound particularly Rizolex-T 50% WP, Tendro 40% FS and Maxim XL 3.5% FS that were significantly lower at pre- and post-emergence damping-off, rotted roots, increased survival plants and subsequently increase biological, seed and straw yields in comparison with the untreated control. All chemical fungicides particularly Hattric 6% FS reduced nodules number per plant. In contrast, the tested biofungicides increased nodules number per plant. In connection with the tested rates, all the tested compounds gave better results at their high application rates but accompanied with reduced the nodules number in case of chemical fungicides and increased nodules number in case of biofungicides.

Keywords: Common Bean, Damping-Off, Root Rot, Chemical Fungicides, Biofungicides.

INTRODUCTION

Bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) represents an important vegetable crop worldwide including Egypt and can be consumed as green pods or dry bean seeds (Ragab, et al., 2015). Common bean is one of the most important food legumes for direct human consumption. Such crop represents about 50% of the consumed legumes worldwide (Broughton et al. 2003; Graham et al., 2003). Bean plays an important role in human nutrition as a cheap source for protein, carbohydrates, unsaturated fatty acids, vitamins and minerals (Nassar et al. 2011; Beebe et al. 2013; Yunsheng et al. 2015; Ganesan and Xu 2017). The nutritional attributes of common bean make it a potential crop for improving the nutritional security of poor communities (Kator et al. 2016). In addition, bean is important in providing fodder for feeding livestock and it contributes improvement soil fertility through to atmospheric nitrogen fixation (Asfaw 2011; Ambachew et al. 2015; Abebe and Mekonnen 2019). In Egypt, common bean is one of the most important leguminous crops cultivated for local consumption and exportation. The total cultivated area of common bean in Egypt in 2018 reached 120729 feddans that yielded 122870 tons (Anonymous, 2018). Also, bean is known by many other names such as common bean (Abeysinghe, 2007; Mahamune and

Kakde, 2011; Shehata, 2015 and Hussein *et al.*, 2018), bean (Kataria *et al.*, 2002; Sallam *et al.* 2008; El-Fiki *et al.* 2014; Ragab, *et al.* 2015), dry bean (Abd-El-Khair *et al.* 2019; Jacobs *et al.* 2019), French bean, Rajma (Hindi), haricot bean, snap bean, navy bean (Mahamune and Kakde, 2011; Yunsheng *et al.*, 2015 and Mahmoud *et al.*, 2018a), kidney bean (Mahamune and Kakde 2011; Abd El-Hai and Ali, 2018) and finally bean which commonly known in Egypt as Phasolia is a member of family Fabaceae (Nassar *et al.* 2011; Yunsheng *et al.* 2015).

Bean plants are exposed under greenhouse and field conditions to infection with several foliar and root fungal diseases at all its growth stages and these diseases can attack all plant parts *i.e.* roots, leaves, stems and pods (Graham and Ranalli, 1997; Mukankusi et al., 2010). Among this disease, damping-off and root rot diseases are serious and persistent problem for bean plants during growing season (Filion et al. 2003; Harveson et al. 2005; Wen et al. 2005; Ragab et al. 2015). Damping-off and root rot diseases are caused by several seed and soil-borne pathogenic fungi such as alternata, Fusarium solani, Alternaria *F*. oxysporum, Pythium spp., Rhizoctonia solani and Sclerotium rolfsii (El-Gamal, et al. 2003; Abeysinghe, 2007; El-Shami, 2008; Abd-El-Khair et al., 2011; Mahmoud et al., 2013; Pena et

El-Kholy et al.

al., 2013 and Abd-El-Khair *et al.*, 2019). These pathogens act either individually or in a complex manner (Rusuku *et al.*, 1997), and reduce seed germination, seedling emergence and final plant stand and cause great losses in yield of the affected plants (Mahmoud, 1985; Rizvi and Yang 1996; EL-Mougy 2001; Vinale *et al.* 2008; Valentin, 2010; Yaquelyn *et al.* 2010). Yield losses in several infested areas approached 50% (de Jensen *et al.* 2002).

Various methods were reported to control damping-off and root rot diseases in common bean. These methods including cultivate resistant varieties (Brisa *et al.* 2007; Obala *et al.* 2012), cultural practices (Abeysinghe 2007; Abawi and Widmer 2000; Toledo-Souza *et al.* 2012), plant extracts (Kumar and Tripathi 1991; Soliman *et al.* 2013), biological control agents (Sallam *et al.* 2008; Mahamune and Kakde 2011; El-Fiki *et al.* 2014; Riad *et al.* 2015; Hussein *et al.* 2018; Korayem *et al.* 2020) and the most effective method is chemical control (Theer 2012; Buts and Singh, 2014; Elshahawy *et al.* 2016; Kumar *et al.* 2019 and Korayem *et al.* 2020).

Therefore, the present work was conducted to evaluate the efficiency of four fungicides and three bioagents (BCAs) for controlling damping-off and root rot diseases of common bean under field conditions and their side effects on nodulation of rhizobium bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiments were performed in a private field naturally heavily infested with damping-off and root rot diseases of common bean, at Khaled Ibn El-Waleed Village, Badr Center, El-Behera Governorate, Egypt, during the two successive growing seasons of 2018 and 2019. Common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) seeds, cv. Giza 6 were obtained from the Department of Legume Crop Research, Field Crop Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Egypt. Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar phaseoli was obtained from Bio-fertilizer Lab, Water and Soil Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center (ARC).

Four fungicides and three biofungicides were used in this study (Table, 1).

The experiments were designed as a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates for each treatment as well as untreated control. The experimental area of each plot was 21 m² ($4.2 \times 5m$). Each plot comprised of eight rows and 42 holes row⁻¹.

Common bean seeds were treated with the tested fungicides at the recommended and half recommended rates (Table 1), according to the method described by Metwaly et al. (2006). The candidate amount of the tested fungicides was thoroughly mixed with common bean seeds in plastic bags with 3 mL of Arabic gum solution (1%) as sticker and shaked for 10 min to insure uniform coverage of seeds with the tested rates. Treated seeds were then allowed to dry at room temperature for 24h before sowing. Just before sowing, the seeds were inoculated by Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar phaseoli at the rate 10 g.kg-1 seed. The inoculum was added and thoroughly mixed with the seeds and during the mixing process 3mL of Arabic gum solution (1 %) was added to ensure their surfaces were uniformly coated and adhere with inoculum. Seeds treated with Rhizobium alone were used as control. Treated and untreated seeds were sown at the rate of 2 seeds.holes⁻¹ at 10 cm apart to comprise a total of 672 seeds plot-1 in Sep. 9, 2018 and Sep.12, 2019 during both growing seasons, respectively. The recommended cultural practices for bean production were adopted throughout growing seasons in this district.

During the growing seasons, we recorded the different measurements, including number of pre-emergence damping-off [15 days after sowing (DAS) (Ragab *et al.*, 2015)]; number of post-emergence damping-off [45 DAS (Ragab *et al.* 2015)]; number of infected plants by rotted roots [60 DAS (Soliman *et al.* 2013)]; number of survival (healthy) plants [60 DAS (Soliman *et al.* 2013)]; number of nodules per plant (at the flowering stage, five plants were uprooted from each plot and washed gently with water and the nodules on every plant root system were counted) according to Elkoca *et al.* (2010).

In both seasons, the plants were harvested after 100 days from sowing and left to dry in the field for 15 days, then, the following characters were determined:

Biological yield included (weight of all plants kg.plot¹), seed yield (kg plot¹), and straw yield (kg plot⁻¹).

Statistical analysis:

The obtained results were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to Gomez and Gomes (1984), and L.S.D values were obtained at 0.01 and 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of seed treatments on damping-off, root rot and survival plants.

The data presented in Tables (2 and 3) showed the effect of treatments on pre- and post-emergence damping-off, rotted rots and survival plants. Generally, all treatments, at any rate of application in both seasons 2018 and 2019, significantly (P= 0.05) reduced the number of pre- and post-emergence dampingoff, rotted rots and increased survival plants in comparison with the untreated control. The chemical treatments were significantly better than the biological treatments in both seasons. Among the tested fungicides, Rizolex-T 50% WP, Tendro 40% FS and Maxim XL 3.5% FS were the most effective in reducing the number of pre- and post-emergence dampingoff, rotted roots and consequently increasing survival (healthy) plants. On the other hand, Plant guard was the least effective in this respect, while other fungicides showed an intermediate effect, and the results were similar in both seasons. For example, when Rizolex-T 50% WP, Tendro 40% FS and Maxim XL 3.5% FS were applied at recommended rate $(3g, 3.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ and } 1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ seeds, respectively})$ the number of pre-emergence damping-off recorded 23.67, 24.67 and 32.00 plants plot-1 in the first season and 19.67, 22.33 and 34.00 plants plot-1 in the second season, respectively, while the corresponding values with the Plant guard at the highest rate of application (2.5 cm³ kg⁻¹ seeds) were 110.00 and 104.33 plants plot⁻¹ comparing with those in the control (201.67 and 193.33, plants plot⁻¹, respectively). Hattric 6% FS, Rhizo-N and Biocontrol T 34 12% WP at recommended rate (1 cm³, 4g and 2g kg⁻¹ seeds, respectively) gave 50.67, 66.33 and 83.33 plants plot-1 in the first season and 46.00, 62.67 and 79.33 plants plot-1 in the second season, respectively, indicating that these fungicides have an intermediate effect. For the number of post-emergence damping-off, the same trend was observed in both seasons as that Rizolex-T 50% WP, Tendro 40% FS and Maxim XL 3.5% FS at recommended rate (3g, 3.5 cm³ and 1 cm³ kg-1 seeds, respectively), recorded values of 19.33, 22.00 and 27.67 plants plot-1 in the first season and 14.67, 17.67 and 23.33 plants plot-1 in the second season, respectively, compared with those in the control (141.67 and 135.67), while Plant guard gave 97.67 and 93.00 plants plot¹ in both seasons, respectively. In the case of the number of rotted roots, results indicated that Rizolex-T 50% WP, Tendro 40% FS and Maxim XL 3.5% FS at recommended rate (3g, 3.5 cm³ and 1 cm³ kg⁻¹ seeds, respectively) recorded 7.67, 9.33 and 16.00 plants plot-1 in the first season and 4.33, 5.67 and 13.00 plants plot¹ in the second season, respectively, in comparison with those in the control which gave 102.00 and 97.00 plants plot⁻¹ during both seasons, respectively. Concerning the number of survival plants, results showed that Rizolex-T 50% WP, Tendro 40% FS and Maxim XL 3.5% FS were the most effective fungicides. These fungicides at recommended rate (3 g, 3.5 cm³ and 1 cm³kg⁻¹seeds, respectively) increased the survival plants to 621.33, 616.00 and 596.33 plants plot⁻¹ in the first season and 633.33, 627.33 and 601.67 plants plot⁻¹ in the second season comparing to those of control (226.67 and 246.00 plants plot⁻¹), respectively.

Generally, the recommended rates of treatments significantly (P=0.05) decreased the number of pre- and post-emergence dampingoff, rotted roots and subsequently increased the number of survival plants in comparison with half rate. However, certain exceptions were recorded. For example, no significant differences were observed between the effects of half recommended and the recommended rates (1.75 and 3.5 cm³ kg⁻¹ seeds) of Tendro 40% FS against pre-emergence damping-off, which recorded 25.33 and 22.33 plants plot-1 in the second season (Table, 3) and Rizolex-T 50% WP at the same rates of application (1.5 and 3 g kg-1 seeds) in case of pre- and postemergence damping-off, rotted roots and survival plants during both seasons (Tables 2 and 3).

The high efficacy of Rizolex-T 50% WP, Tendro 40% FS and Maxim XL 3.5% FS in reducing pre-emergence, post emergence damping-off and root rot diseases may be due to the high activity of these fungicides on fungal pathogens of the seed and root rot diseases including genera of Fusarium, Sclerotium, Pythium and Rhizoctonia. Efficiency of tested fungicides for controlling dampingoff and root rot diseases in the present study are consistent with those described by several authors. Kataria et al. (2002) found that treatment of bean seeds with tebuconazole and tolclofos-methyl resulted in maximum protection to bean seedlings against pre- and post-emergence damping-off and yielded healthy seedling stands up to > 90 %. Theer (2012) recorded that pre- and post-emergence damping-off caused by Rhizoctonia solani and *Fusarium oxysporum* significantly decreased by using seed dressing fungicides (Rhizolex-T, Topsin-M and Basten). Mahmoud et al. (2018 b) found that Rizolex-T and Moncut were the most effective fungicides in reducing the percentages of pre- and post-emergence

El-Kholy et al.

damping-off caused by *F. solani* and *R. solani* in faba bean.

On the other hand, several mechanisms were suggested to explain the role of biocontrol agent as antagonistic organisms in suppression phytopathogenic fungi and controlling diseases. Their action could be occurred through mycoparasitism (Haran et al., 1996; Viterbo et al. 2002; Pierre et al., 2016) competition for nutrients and space (Inbar et al. 1994), production of antibiotics, stimulate plants for producing chemical defenses compounds, induced systemic resistance in plants (Ghisalberti and Rowland, 1993; Howell et al. 2000; Morsy et al. 2005) and produced extracellular enzymes such as proteases, glucanases,b-1-3-glucanase, chitinases, protease and lipase (Chet and Inbar 1994; Harman 2006; Fernando et al. 2007). The obtained results are in agreement with those obtained by several researchers. El-Fiki et al. (2014) reported that treatment of bean seeds with Trichoderma harzianum, T. viride, Bacillus subtilis and Pseudomonas fluorescens at the different rates of 2, 4 and 8 g kg-1 seeds reduced the incidence of pre- and postemergence damping off and increased crop parameters under greenhouse conditions in comparison to the control. Sowing coated bean seeds with Bacillus megaterium, B. subtilis, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Serratia marcescens, Trichoderma album, T. harzianum, T. lignorum and T. viride significantly reduced the incidence of pre- and post-emergence damping- off with significant increase in the fresh and dry weight of roots and shoots compared with control treatment (Ahmed 2016). Also, Abd-El-Khair et al. (2019) found that T. harzianum, T. viride, and T. virens significantly reduced the incidence of damping-off, root rot, wilt diseases and increased the percentage of survival bean plants under greenhouse and field conditions.

Side effect of seed treatments on nodulation number of rhizobium bacteria in common bean.

Data illustrated in Table (4) clearly indicated that, all the tested chemical particularly fungicides, Hattric 6% FS significantly (P=0.05) reduced the number of nodules per plant compared with the untreated control, except for Maxim XL 3.5% FS (at both rate of application) in the first season and at half recommended rate in the second season. Also, insignificant reduction in nodules number plant⁻¹ in both seasons was found when Rizolex-T 50% WP was used at half recommended rate. On the contrary,

biofungicides significantly (P=0.05) increased the number of nodules per plant compared with the untreated control, with the exception of Plant guard which exhibited insignificant effect at half recommended rate in the first season and at both rates in the second season. These results were similar in the two consecutive growing seasons 2018 and 2019. For example, Hattric 6% FS at recommended rate (1cm3 kg-1 seeds) reduced the number of nodules per plant to 2.80 and 4.00 during both seasons respectively, while Rhizo-N at recommended rate (4g kg-1 seeds) increased the number of nodules per plant to 28.03 and 30.40 during both seasons, comparing with those of the control (20.73 and 22.93), respectively.

Results indicated that, recommended rate of chemical fungicides significantly (P=0.05) reduced the number of nodules per plant compared with the half recommended rate, with the exception of Maxim XL 3.5% FS at half recommended and recommended rates, that was insignificant during the two tested seasons. For example, significant differences were observed between the effects of the half recommended and recommended rates (1.75 and 3.5 cm³ kg⁻¹ seeds) of Tendro 40% FS on number of nodules per plant, which recorded 15.83 and 13.80 in the first season and 18.70 and 15.73 in the second season, respectively. In contrast, all biofungicides at recommended rate significantly (P=0.05) increased the number of nodules per plant, except for Plant guard at half recommended and recommended rates resulted insignificant differences in the second season.

The harmful effect of chemical fungicides on rhizobium bacteria may be due to these fungicides disrupt the signaling between plants rhizobia by blocking and the communication between plant derived Rhizobium phytochemicals and Nod D receptors that plays an important role in initiating nodulation leading to a successful N2 fixation (Fox et al. 2007). Also, fungicides reducing the inoculation viability, death of rhizobium cells, altering the root exudate composition and consequently acts on the molecular signals to the bacteria and alters the bacterial morphology and physiology (Andrés et al. 1998 and Dunfield et al. 2000). On the other hand, the nodulation on the roots of bean plants treated with biocontrol agents were increased may be due to stimulate the growth of Rhizobium phaseoli (Smith, 1996; Baraka et al. 1998). Biocontrol agents such as Trichoderma spp. and Bacillus spp. increased number of

El-Kholy et al.

nodules (Ragab, et al. 2015; Baraka et al. 1998; El-Dabaa et al. 2019).

The negative effects of fungicides on nodulation were observed by (Ahmed, 1997) who cited that Rizolex T at rates 3 kg seeds⁻¹ reduced the number of nodules in groundnut. Zilli *et al.* (2009) found that carbendazim + thiram and carboxin + thiram reduced soybean nodulation. Kintschev *et al.* (2014) reported that carbendazim + thiram (Product A), carbendazim + thiram (Product B), carboxin + thiram, fludioxonil + metalaxyl-M and fludioxonil + metalaxyl-M + thiabendazole led to a reduction in the nodulation of bean plants, especially for nodular mass.

Effect of seed treatments on some agronomic traits of common bean.

The data in Tables (5 and 6) indicated the effect of chemical and biological seed treatments on biological yield, seed yield and straw yield during the two tested seasons (2018 and 2019). These results showed that all treatments, at any rate of applications, were significantly (P=0.05) increased biological yield, seed yield and straw yield in comparison with the untreated control during both seasons. As stated previously with other evaluation parameters, Rizolex-T 50% WP, Tendro 40% FS and Maxim XL 3.5% FS were the most effective fungicides in this respect whereas Plant guard was the lowest effective one. For example, the application of Rizolex-T 50% WP, Tendro 40% FS and Maxim XL 3.5% FS at recommended rate (3g, 3.5 cm³ and 1 cm³ kg-1 seeds, respectively) resulted in biological vield values 11.15, 10.97 and 10.43 kg plot-1 in the first season and 11.75, 11.55 and 10.87 kg plot-1 in the second season, respectively, whereas the corresponding biological yield values of Plant guard were 6.15 and 6.53 kg plot⁻¹. On the other hand, the corresponding seed yield values of the same fungicides at the same application rate were 5.70, 5.62 and 5.43 kg plot-1 in the first season and 6.05, 6.00 and 5.62 kg plot-1 in the second season, respectively, while those of Plant guard were 3.35 and 3.48 kg plot⁻¹.

Regarding the examined rates of fungicides, and as expected, recommended rate significantly (P = 0.05) increment biological yield, seed yield and straw yield compared with half rate. For example, Hattric 6% FS at 0. 5 and 1 cm³ kg⁻¹ seeds significantly increased biological yield values from 8.42 to 9.40 kg plot⁻¹ in the first season and from 8.70 to 9.70 kg plot⁻¹ in the second season, respectively, while seed yield values were 4.42 and 4.95 kg

plot⁻¹ in the first season and 4.50 and 5 kg plot⁻¹ in the second season, respectively. In addition, the same fungicide at the same rates of application significantly increased straw yield values from 4.00 to 4.45 kg plot⁻¹ in the first season and from 4.20 to 4.70 kg plot-1 in the season, respectively. Generally, second chemical fungicides gave biological yield, seed yield and straw yield better than the bioagents and this may be resulted from the efficacy of these compounds in controlling damping-off and root rot diseases than bioagent compounds. Also, these results suggested that, most fungicides were more effective than bioagents (BCAs). These results are in agreement with those obtained by several authors. Shehata (2015) found that treatment common bean seeds with Rhizolex-T reduced percentage of pre- and post-emergence damping-off, increased the percentage of healthy survival plants and significantly increased number of pods/plant and seed yield compared with untreated control. Under field conditions highest reduction in the diseases incidences and increases in the percentages of bean yield (number and weight of pods plant⁻¹ and dry weight of 100 seeds) were induced by T. harzianum, followed by T. viride when used as seed treatment (El-Fiki et al. 2014). Also, Abd-El-Khair et al. (2019) reported that T. harzianum, T. viride and T. virens increased yield components of the survival dry bean plants.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the fungicides (Rizolex-T 50% WP, Tendro 40% FS and Maxim XL 3.5% FS) were significantly reduced pre- and post-emergence damping-off, rotted roots, increased survival plants and subsequently increase biological, seed and straw yields. Regardless the examined fungicide, and as expected, the higher rate of application were more effective than the law rate in controlling damping-off and root rot diseases in common bean and recorded higher seed yield. Also, chemical fungicides were more effective than biofungicides. Regarding the effect of seed treatments on nodulation number of rhizobium bacteria in common bean, all chemical fungicides particularly Hattric 6% FS reduced nodules number per plant. In contrast, the tested biofungicides increased nodules number per plant.

REFERENCES

Abawi, G.S., Widmer, T.L., 2000. Impact of soil health management practices on soilborne pathogens, nematodes and root diseases of vegetable crops. Appl. Soil Ecol., 15, 37-47.

- Abd El-Hai, K.M., Ali, A.A., 2018. Amelioration of the structural and biochemical features of kidney bean against root rot and rust diseases. J. Plant. Prot. Pathol., 9 (3), 237-245.
- Abd-El-Khair, H., Elshahawy, I.E., Karima, H.E., 2019. Field application of *Trichoderma spp*. combined with thiophanate-methyl for controlling *Fusarium solani* and *Fusarium oxysporum* in dry bean. Bull. Nat. Res. Center. 43, 1-9.
- Abd-El-Khair, H., Khalifa, R.Kh.M., Karima, H.E. 2011. Effect of *Trichoderma* species on damping off diseases' incidence, some plant enzymes activity and nutritional status of bean plants. J. Am. Sci., 7 (1), 156-167.
- Abebe, A., Mekonnen, Z., 2019. Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) varieties response to rates of blended NPKSB fertilizer at Arba Minch, Southern Ethiopia. Adv. Crop Sci. Technol. 7 (429), 2-8.
- Abeysinghe, S., 2007. Biological control of *Fusarium solani* f. sp. *phaseoli* the causal agent of root rot of bean using *Bacillus subtilis* CA32 and *Trichoderma harzianum* RU01. Ruhuna J. Sci., 2, 82-88.
- Ahmed, G.A., 2016. Efficiency of some antioxidants and bioagents in controlling *Rhizoctonia* damping-off of snap bean. Mid. East J. Appl. Sci., 6 (4), 748-758.
- Ahmed, Z.H.Z., 1997. Toxic Side Effects of Seed-Dressing Fungicides on Groundnut Especially Bacterial Nodulation. M.Sc. Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar University, Cairo.
- Ambachew, D., Mekbib, F., Asfaw, A., Beebe, S.E., Blai, M.W., 2015. Trait associations in common bean genotypes grown under drought stress and field infestation by BSM bean fly. Crop J., 3 (4), 305-316.
- Andrés, J.A., Correa, N.S., Rosas, S.B., 1998. Survival and symbiotic properties of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* in the presence of thiram: Isolation of fungicide resistant strains. Biol. Fert. Soils, 26, 141-145.
- Anonymous, 2018. Bulletin of the Agricultural Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Economic Affair Sector, Egypt.11 pp. (in arabic).
- Asfaw, A., 2011. Breeding for drought tolerance by integrative design: The case of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in Ethiopia. Ph.D. Dissertation, Wageningen University, Wageningen, NL.
- Baraka, M.A.M., Sallam, A.A.A., Nour-Jehan, M.M., 1998. Biological control agents for damping-off and root rot diseases and their side effect on pean plants. Annals Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 36 (3), 1469-1480.
- Beebe, E.S., Rao, I.M., Blair, M.W., Acosta-Gallegos, J.A. 2013. Phenotyping common

beans for adaptation to drought. Front. Physiol., 4 (35), 1-20.

- Brisa, R., Fernando, M.A., Asunción, G.S., Noemí, M.R., Arturo, P.E., José, M.D., 2007. The gene coding for a new transcription factor (*ftf1*) of *Fusarium oxysporum* is only expressed during infection of common bean. Fung. Gen. Biol., 44, 864-876.
- Broughton, W.J., Herna'ndez, G., Blair, M., Beebe, S., Gepts, P., Vanderleyden, J., 2003. Beans (*Phaseolus spp*.): model food legume. Plant Soil, 252, 55-128.
- Buts, S.A.K., Singh, R., 2014. Seed borne mycoflora of mung bean (*Phaseolus aureus* Roxb.) and its control by fungicides. Adv. Appl. Sci. Res., 5 (6), 8-10.
- Chet, I., Inbar, J. 1994. Biological control of fungal pathogens. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol., 48 (1), 37-43.
- de Jensen, C., Percich, J.A., Graham, P.H., 2002. Integrated management strategies of bean root rot with *Bacillus subtilis* and *Rhizobium* in Minnesota. Field Crop Res., 74, 107–115
- Dunfield, K., Siciliano, S.D., Germida, J.J. 2000. The fungicides thiram and captan affect the phenotypic characteristics of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* strain C1 as determined by FAME and Biolog analyses. Biol. Fert. Soils, 31, 303-309.
- El-Dabaa, M.A.T., Abd-El-Khair, H., El-Nagdi, W.M.A., 2019. Field application of *Clethodim herbicide* combined with *Trichoderma* spp. for controlling weeds, root knot nematodes and *Rhizoctonia* root rot disease in two faba bean cultivars. J. Plant. Prot. Res., 59 (2), 255-264.
- El-Fiki, I.A.I., Shaheen, S.I.M., Youness, H.E.H., Kamel, S.M. 2014. Evaluation of some bioagents for controlling damping-off and root rot diseases of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Cont. 24 (1), 275-282.
- El-Gamal, N.G., El-Mougy N., Ismail, S., Badiaa, R., 2003. Induction of resistance in bean against root-rot and leaf spot diseases incidence under field conditions. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. 18 (7), 47-76.
- Elkoca, E., Turan, M., Donmez, M.F., 2010. Effects of single, dual and triple inoculations with *Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus megaterium* and *Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. Phaseoli* on nodulation, nutrient uptake, yield and yield parameters of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv.'elkoca-05'). J. Plant Nutr., 33 (14), 2104-2119.
- El-Mougy, N.S., 2001. Field application of certain biological and chemical approaches on controlling bean wilt disease. Egypt. J. Phytopathol., 29 (1), 69-78.
- Elshahawy, I.E., Karima, Haggag, H.E., Abd-El-Khair, H., 2016. Compatibility of *Trichoderma*

spp. with seven chemical fungicides used in the control of soil borne plant pathogens. Res. J. Pharm. Biol. Chem. Sci., 7 (1), 1772-1785.

- El-Shami, A.R., 2008. Control of root-rot diseases of *Phaseolus vulgaris* using gliotoxin. Malays J. Microbiol. 4 (1), 40-43.
- Fernando, W.G.D., Nakkeeran, S., Zhang, Y., Savchuk, S. 2007. Biological control of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* de Bary by *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* species on canola petals. Crop Prot. 26, 100-107.
- Filion, M.M., Arnaud, S.T., Jabaji-Hare, S.H., 2003. Quantification of *Fusarium solani* f. Sp. *Phaseoli* in mycorrhizal bean plants and surrounding mycorrhizosphere soil using realtime polymerase chain reaction and direct isolations on selective media. Phytopathology. 93, 229-235.
- Fox, J.E., Gulledge, J., Engelhaupt, E., Burow, M.E., McLachlan, J.A., 2007. Pesticides reduce symbiotic efficiency of nitrogen-fixing rhizobia and host plants. PNAS 104, 10282–10287.
- Ganesan, K., Xu, B., 2017. Polyphenol-rich dry common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and their health benefits. Int. J. Mol. Sci., 18 (11), 1-26.
- Ghisalberti, E.L., Rowland, G.Y. 1993. Antifungal metabolites from *Trichoderma harzianum*. J. Nat. Prod. 56, 1799–1804.
- Gomez, K.A., Gomez, A.A., 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, 2nd Ed., JohnWilly and Sons.Inc. New York, U.S.A.pp.680
- Graham, P.H., Ranalli, P. 1997. Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Field Crops Res. 53, 131-146.
- Graham, P.H., Rosas, J.C., De Jensen, C.E., Peralta, E., Tlusty, B., Acosta-Gallegos, J., Pereira, P.A.A., 2003. Addressing edaphic constraints to bean production: the Bean/Cowpea CRSP project in perspective. Field Crop Res. 82, 179-192.
- Haran, S., Schickler, H., Chet, I., 1996. Molecular mechanisms of lytic enzymes involved in the biocontrol activity of *Trichoderma harzianum*. Microbiology, 142, 2321-2331.
- Harman, G.E., 2006. Overview of mechanisms and uses of *Trichoderma* spp. Phytopathology, 96, 190-194.
- Harveson, R.M., Smith, J., Stroup, W.W., 2005. Improving root health and yield of dry beans in the Nebraska panhandle with a new technique for reducing soil compaction. Plant Dis. 89, 279-284.
- Howell, C.R., Hanson, L.E., Stipanovic, R.D., Puckhaber, L.S., 2000. Induction of terpenoid synthesis in cotton roots and control of *Rhizoctonia solani* by seed treatment with *Trichoderma virens*. Phytopathology. 90, 248– 252.

- Hussein, A.N., Abbasi, S., Sharifi, R., Jamali, S., 2018. The effect of biocontrol agents' consortia against *Rhizoctonia* root rot of common bean *Phaseolus vulgaris*. J. Crop Prot., 7 (1), 73-85.
- Inbar, J., Abramshy, D., Cohen, D., Chet, I., 1994. Plant growth enhancement and disease control by *Trichoderma harzianum* in vegetable seedlings grown under commercial conditions. Eur. J. Plant. Pathol., 100, 337-346.
- Jacobs, J.L., Kelly, J.D., Wright, E.M., Varner, G., Chilvers, M.I., 2019. Determining the soilborne pathogens associated with root rot disease complex of dry bean in Michigan. Plant Health Prog. 20 (2), 122-127.
- Kataria, H.R., Wilmsmeier, B., Buchenauer, H., 2002. Efficacy of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains and some modern fungicides for control of *Rhizoctonia solani* AG-4 in bean and cucumber. J. Plant Dis. Prot., 109 (4), 384-400.
- Kator, L., Ogo-Oluwa, A.T., Kemi, A.B., 2016. Isolation and identification of seed borne fungi of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) from selected markets in Makurdi. Int. J. Appl. Agric. Sci., 2 (5), 75-78.
- Kintschev, M.R., Goulart, A.C.P., Mercante, F.M. 2014. Compatibility between rhizobium inoculation and fungicide application in seeds of common beans. Summa. Phytopathol. 40 (4), 338-346.
- Korayem, A.S., Abdelhafez, A.A., Zaki, M.M., Saleh, E.A., 2020. Biological control of green bean damping-off disease caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* by *Streptomyces parvulus* Strain 10 d. Egypt. J. Microbiol., 87-94.
- Kumar, A., Tripathi, S.C., 1991. Evaluation of the leaf juice of some higher plants for their toxicity against soilborne pathogens. Plant Soil. 132, 297-301.
- Kumar, A., Bansal, R.D., Chelak, Y.K., 2019. Compatibility of *Trichoderma viride* with fungicides for plant disease management. Int. J. Pure. Appl. BioSci., 7 (3), 44-51.
- Mahamune, S.E., Kakde, R.B., 2011. Incidence of seed-borne mycoflora on French bean mutants and its antagonistic activity against *Trichoderma harzianum*. Recent Res. Sci. Technol., 3 (5), 62-67.
- Mahmoud, F.A.F., 1985. Studies on Root Rot and Wilt Diseases in Soybean Plants. PhD. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Cairo.
- Mahmoud, N.A., Khalifa, N.A., Abbas, M.S., Sobhy, H.M., Abou-Zeid, N.M., 2018 b. Efficacy of antagonistic fungal and bacterial bioagents against faba bean damping-off disease. Zagazeg J. Agric. Res., 45 (3), 917-929.
- Mahmoud, S.H., Salama, Dina, M., Abd El-Aziz, M.E. 2018 a. Effect of chitosan and chitosan nanoparticles on growth, productivity and

chemical quality of green snap bean. BioSci. Res. 15 (4), 4307-4321.

- Mahmoud, S.Y.M., Hosseny, M.H., EL-Shaikh, K.A.A., Obiadalla, A.H.A., Mohamed, Y.A. 2013. Seed borne fungal pathogens associated with common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) seeds and their impact on germination. J. Environ. Stud. 11, 19-26.
- Metwally, A.H., Mahmoud, E.Y., Shokry, S.Y.M., Hussin, Z.N., 2006. Effect of growth regulators in controlling of peanut root rot diseases and compared to fungicides treatment. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31 (6), 3537-3548.
- Morsy, E.M., Abdel-Kawi, K.A., Khalil, M.N. 2005. Efficiency of *Trichoderma viride* and *Bacillus* subtilis as biocontrol agents against *Fusarium* solani on tomato plants. Egypt. J. Phytopathol., 37 (1), 47-57.
- Mukankusi, C., Melis, R., Derera, J., Laing, M.D., Buruchara, R.A. 2010. Identification of sources of resistance to *Fusarium* root rot among selected common bean lines in Uganda. J. Anim. Plant Sci., 7 (3), 876-891.
- Nassar, R.M., Ahmed, Y.M., Nassar, D M., 2011. Effect of foliar spray with active yeast extract on morphological, anatomical and yield characteristics of kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Austr. J. Basic Appl. Sci., 5 (5), 1071-1079.
- Obala, J., Mukankusi, C., Rubaihayo, P.R., Gibson, P., Edema, R., 2012. Improvement of resistance to *Fusarium* root rot through gene pyramiding in common bean. Afr. Crop Sci. J., 20, 1-13.
- Peña, P.A., Steadman, J.R., Eskridge, K.M., Urrea, C.A., 2013. Identification of sources of resistance to damping-off and early root/hypocotyl damage from *Rhizoctonia solani* in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris L.*). Crop Prot., 54, 92-99.
- Pierre, E., Louise, N.W., Marie, T.K.R., Valère, T.F.u.P., Arc-en-ce, J.M., Fekam, B.F. 2016. Integrated assessment of phytostimulation and biocontrol potential of endophytic *Trichoderma* spp against common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) root rot fungi complex in Centre Region, Cameroon. Int. J. Pure Appl. BioSci., 4 (4), 50-68.
- Ragab, M. M.M., Abada, K.A., Abd-El-Moneim, M.L., Abo-Shosha, Y..Z., 2015. Effect of different mixtures of some bioagents and *Rhizobium phaseoli* on bean damping-off under field condition. Int. J. Sci. Eng. Res., 6 (7), 1099-1106.
- Riad, E.R.S., Shafeek, M.R., Rizk, Fatma, A. 2015. Management of root rot diseases and improvement growth and yield of green bean plants using plant resistance inducers and biological seed treatments. J. Agric. Technol., 11 (5), 1219-1234.

- Rizvi, S.S.A., Yang, X.B., 1996. Fungi associated with soybean seedling disease in Iowa. Plant Dis., 80 (1), 57-60.
- Rusuku, G., Buruchara, R.A., Gatabazi, M., Pastor-Carrales, M.A., 1997. Occurrence and distribution in Rwanda of soil borne fungi, pathogenic to common bean. Plant Dis., 81, 445-449.
- Sallam, N.M.A., Abo-Elyousr, K.A.M., Hassan, M.A.E., 2008. Evaluation of *Trichoderma* species as biocontrol agents for damping-off and wilt diseases of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. and efficacy of suggested formula. Egypt. J. Phytopathol., 36 (1-2), 81-93.
- Shehata, S.T., 2015. Induction of resistance against *Rhizoctonia* root rot of common bean. J. Plant Prot. Pathol. Mansoura Univ., 6 (2), 407–430.
- Smith, V.L., 1996. Enhancement of snap beans emergence by *Gliocladium Virens*. Hort. Sci., 31 (6), 984-985.
- Soliman, N.K., Saber, M.M., Ahmed, M.F.A., 2013. Evaluation of some plant extracts on controlling damping-off and root-rot diseases of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Egypt. J. Phytopathol., 41 (1), 185-198.
- Theer, R.M., 2012. Studies on Damping-off and Root Rot Diseases of Green Bean. MSc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo University, Cairo.
- Toledo-Souza, E.D.D., da Silveira, P.M.S., Café-Filho, A.C., Junior, M.L., 2012. *Fusarium* wilt incidence and common bean yield according to the preceding crop and the soil tillage system. Pesq. agropec. bras., Brasília, 47 (8), 1031-1037.
- Valentin, T.S., 2010. ITS-5.8S-rDNA region and disease severity comparison of *Rhizoctonia solani anastomosis* groups isolated from common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Isabela, Puerto Rico University, Mayaguez (Puerto Rico), 90 p.
- Vinale, F., Sivasithamparam, K., Ghisalberti, E.L., Marra, R., Lorito, M., 2008. *Trichoderma* plant pathogens interactions. Soil Biol. Biochem., 40, 1-10.
- Viterbo, A., Montero, M., Ramot, O., Friesem, D., Monte, E., Llobell, A., Chet, I., 2002. Expression regulation of the endochitinase chit36 from *Trichoderma asperellum (T. harzianum* T-203). Curr. Genet., 42 (2), 114-122.
- Wen, K., Seguin, P., Arnaud, M.S., Jabaji-Hare, S., 2005. Real-time quantitative RT-PCR of defense -associated gene t transcripts of *Rhizoctonia solani*-infected bean seedlings in response to inoculation with a nonpathogenic binucleate *Rhizoctonia* isolate. Phytopathol., 95, 345-353.
- Yaquelyn, N., Nerey, V.B., Sarah V.B., and Monica, H., 2010. Influence of soil type and indigenous pathogenic fungi on bean

hypocotyl rot caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* AG4 HGI in Cuba. Soil Biol. Biochem., 42 (5), 797-803.

Yunsheng, L., El-Bassiony, A.M., Fawzy, Z.F., El-Awadi, M.E., 2015. Effect of foliar spray of glutamine on growth, yield and quality of two snap bean varieties. J. Agric. Sci. Eng., 1 (2), 39-45.

Zilli, J.É., Ribeiro, K.G., Campo, R.J., Hungria, M., 2009. Influence of fungicide seed treatment on soybean nodulation and grain yield. Rev. Bras. Ciênc. Solo, 33, 917-923.

Table 1.	The tested	chemical	fungicides	and biof	ungicides.
	T.				

Item			
Trade names	Common names	Rate of application (g. or cm ³ kg ⁻¹ seeds	Sources
Fungicides			
Tendro 40% FS	Carboxin+thiram	1.75 and 3.5 cm ³	Shoura Chemicals Company
Maxim XL 3.5% FS	Fludioxonil+metalaxyl-M	0.5 and 1 cm^3	Syngenta Company
Hattric 6% FS	Tebuconazole	0.5 and 1 cm ³	Starchem Company
Rizolex-T 50% WP	Tolclofos-methyl+thiram	1.5 and 3 g.	Kafr El-Zayat for Pesticides and Chemicals Company
Biofungicides			
Rhizo- N (30×10º cell / g) powder	Bacillus subtilis	2 and 4 g.	Biotech Company for Fertilizers and Biocides.
Biocontrol T 34 12% WP (1×10 ⁹ spores / g)	Trichoderma asperellum	1 and 2 g	Shoura Chemicals Company
Plant guard (30×10 ⁶ spores / mL) liquid	Trichoderma harzianum	1.25 and 2.5 cm ³	Biotech Company for Fertilizers and Biocides.

Treatments	Rates of application (g or cm ³ kg ⁻ ¹ seeds)	Damping-off			Rotted roots***		Survival plants***		
			Pre*		Post **				
		Mean	Reduction %	Mean	Reduction %	Mean	Reduction %	Mean	Increase %
Carboxin+thiram (Tendro 40% FS)	1.75 cm ³	33.67	83.30	23.67	83.29	12.33	87.91	602.33	62.37
	3.50 cm ³	24.67	87.77	22.00	84.47	9.33	90.85	616.00	63.20
Fludioxonil+metalaxyl-M (Maxim XL 3.5% FS)	0.50 cm ³	45.67	77.35	38.33	72.94	21.00	79.41	567.00	60.02
	1.00 cm ³	32.00	84.13	27.67	80.47	16.00	84.31	596.33	61.99
Tebuconazole (Hattric 6% FS)	0.50 cm ³	76.33	62.15	68.67	51.53	41.33	59.48	485.67	53.33
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.00 cm ³	50.67	74.87	46.67	67.06	33.33	67.32	541.33	58.13
Tolclofos-methyl+thiram (Rizolex-T 50% WP)	1.50 g	25.33	87.44	21.67	84.70	10.33	89.87	614.67	63.12
	3.00 g	23.67	88.26	19.33	86.36	7.67	92.48	621.33	63.52
Bacillus subtilis (Rhizo-N)	2.00 g	95.00	52.89	88.00	37.88	62.67	38.56	426.33	46.83
	4.00 g	66.33	67.11	63.33	55.30	56.33	44.77	486.00	53.36
<i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> (Biocontrol T 34 12% WP)	1.00 g	115.00	42.98	104.67	26.12	72.33	29.09	380.00	40.35
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.00 g	83.33	58.68	74.33	47.53	68.00	33.33	446.33	49.22
Trichoderma harzianum (Plant guard)	1.25 cm ³	139.00	31.08	121.00	14.59	90.67	11.11	321.33	29.46
	2.50 cm ³	110.00	45.46	97.67	31.06	85.33	16.34	379.00	40.20
Control		201.67		141.67		102.00	0.00	226.66	

Table 2. Effect of treatments on pre- and post- emergence damping-off, rotted roots and survival plants of common bean under field conditions during season
(2018).

*Mean number of pre-emergence damping-off 15 days after sowing (DAS).,**Mean number of post-emergence damping-off 45 DAS.,***Mean number of infected plants by rotted roots 60 DAS.,***Mean number of survival (healthy) plants 60 DAS

		Pre		Post		Rotted root	s	Survival pla	ants
L.S.D. at	=	1%	5%	1%	5%	1%	5%	1%	5%
Treatments (T.)	=	12.48	9.29	20.35	15.14	6.68	4.97	24.46	18.19
Rates (R.)	=	6.24	4.64	10.18	7.57	3.34	2.48	12.23	9.10
T. × R.	=	17.66	13.13	28.78	21.41	9.45	7.03	34.59	25.73

Table 3. Effect of treatments on pre- and post- emergence damping-off, rotted roots and survival plants of common bean under field conditions during season (2019).

	Rates of		Dampi	ng-off		Dat	ad reater***	Survival plants*	
Treatments	application		Pre*	-	Post**	Kot	ted roots***	Surviv	ai plants
	(g or cm ³ kg ⁻ ¹ seeds)	Mean	Reduction %	Mean	Reduction %	Mean	Reduction %	Mean	Increase %
Carboxin+thiram	1.75 cm ³	25.33	86.90	20.00	85.26	9.00	90.72	617.67	151.09
(Tendro 40% FS)	3.50 cm ³	22.33	88.45	17.67	86.98	5.67	94.15	627.33	155.01
Fludioxonil+metalaxyl-M	0.50 cm ³	42.67	77.93	34.00	74.94	18.00	81.44	577.33	134.69
(Maxim XL 3.5% FS)	1.00 cm ³	34.00	82.41	23.33	82.80	13.00	86.60	601.67	144.58
Tebuconazole	0.50 cm ³	71.00	63.28	65.00	52.09	36.00	62.89	500.00	103.25
(Hattric 6% FS)	1.00 cm ³	46.00	76.21	50.00	63.15	29.00	70.10	547.00	122.36
Tolclofos-methyl+thiram	1.50 g	22.00	88.62	16.67	87.71	6.33	93.47	627.00	154.88
(Rizolex-T 50% WP)	3.00 g	19.67	89.83	14.67	89.19	4.33	95.54	633.33	157.45
Bacillus subtilis	2.00 g	89.00	53.96	83.33	38.58	59.00	39.18	440.67	79.13
(Rhizo-N)	4.00 g	62.67	67.58	58.00	57.25	52.00	46.39	499.33	102.98
Trichoderma asperellum	1.00 g	108.33	43.97	108.00	20.40	69.00	28.87	386.67	57.18
(Biocontrol T 34 12% WP)	2.00 g	79.33	58.97	76.00	43.98	64.33	33.68	452.33	83.87
Trichoderma harzianum	1.25 cm ³	129.67	32.93	117.33	13.52	85.33	12.03	339.67	38.08
(Plant guard)	2.50 cm ³	104.33	46.04	93.00	31.45	81.67	15.80	393.00	59.76
Control		193.33		135.67		97.00		246.00	

*Mean number of pre-emergence damping-off 15 days after sowing (DAS).

**Mean number of post-emergence damping-off 45 DAS.

***Mean number of infected plants by rotted roots 60 DAS.

****Mean number of survival (healthy) plants 60 DAS.

		pre		Post		Rotted roots		Survival plan	nts
L.S.D. at	=	1%	5%	1%	5%	1%	5%	1%	5%
Treatments (T.)	=	9.57	7.12	19.83	14.75	6.22	4.63	16.92	12.58
Rates (R.)	=	4.78	3.56	9.91	7.37	3.11	2.32	8.46	6.35
T. × R.	=	13.53	10.06	28.04	20.86	8.80	6.55	23.92	17.79

	Rates of	seasons 2018	seasons 2019	
Treatments	application (g or	*nodules number	nodules number	
	cm ³ kg ⁻¹ seeds)	plant-1	plant-1	
Carboxin+thiram	1.75 cm ³	15.83	18.70	
(Tendro 40% FS)	3.50 cm ³	13.80	15.73	
Fludioxonil+metalaxyl-M	0.50 cm ³	20.23	21.00	
(Maxim XL 3.5% FS)	1.00 cm ³	19.83	20.07	
Tebuconazole	0.50 cm ³	5.47	6.03	
(Hattric 6% FS)	1.00 cm ³	2.80	4.00	
Tolclofos-methyl+thiram	1.50 g	18.73	20.70	
(Rizolex-T 50% WP)	3.00 g	15.87	18.67	
Bacillus subtilis	$2.00 \mathrm{g}$	24.33	26.77	
(Rhizo-N)	$4.00 \mathrm{g}$	28.03	30.40	
Trichoderma asperellum	$1.00 \mathrm{g}$	23.20	25.53	
(Biocontrol T 34 12% WP)	$2.00 \mathrm{g}$	25.00	28.37	
Trichoderma harzianum	1.25 cm ³	21.83	23.67	
(Plant guard)	2.50 cm ³	23.10	24.07	
Control		20.73	22.93	
		-0/ -0		
L.S.D. at =	1%			
Treatments (T.) =	2.91			
Rates (R.) =	1.45			
$T. \times R. =$	4.11	1 3.06 4.9	00 3.64	

Table 4. Effect of treatments on numbers of nodules per plant of common bean under field conditions during seasons 2018 and 2019.

*Nodules number plant⁻¹ resulted from 5 plants collected randomly from each replicate at the flowering stage.

Treatments	Rates of application	Biological yield (kg plot ⁻¹)		Seed (kg p	yield blot ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg plot ⁻¹)	
freatments	(g or cm ³ kg ⁻¹ seeds)	Mean	YOC %	Mean	YOC %	Mean	YOC %
Carboxin+thiram	1.75 cm ³	10.63	65.66	5.48	62.59	5.15	68.93
(Tendro 40% FS)	3.50 cm ³	10.97	66.73	5.62	63.52	5.35	70.09
Fludioxonil+metalaxyl-M	0.50 cm ³	9.65	62.18	5.17	60.35	4.48	64.29
(Maxim XL 3.5% FS)	1.00 cm ³	10.43	65.00	5.43	62.25	5.00	68.00
Tebuconazole	0.50 cm ³	8.42	56.65	4.42	53.62	4.00	60.00
(Hattric 6% FS)	1.00 cm ³	9.40	61.17	4.95	58.59	4.45	64.04
Tolclofos-methyl+thiram	1.50 g	10.83	66.30	5.58	63.26	5.25	69.52
(Rizolex-T 50% WP)	3.00 g	11.15	67.26	5.70	64.04	5.45	70.64
Bacillus subtilis	2.00 g	7.23	49.52	3.88	47.16	3.35	52.24
(Rhizo-N)	4.00 g	8.30	56.02	4.35	52.87	3.95	59.49
Trichoderma asperellum	1.00 g	6.47	43.59	3.47	40.92	3.00	46.67
(Biocontrol T 34 12% WP)	2.00 g	7.65	52.29	4.05	49.38	3.60	55.56
Trichoderma harzianum	1.25 cm ³	4.85	24.74	2.85	28.07	2.00	20.00
(Plant guard)	2.50 cm ³	6.15	40.65	3.35	38.81	2.80	42.86
Control		3.65		2.05		1.60	

Biological yield = weight of all plants in each plot (kg polt⁻¹) Seed yield = weight of seeds in each plot (kg polt⁻¹) Straw yield = weight of straw in each plot (kg polt⁻¹) YOC % = yield over control (treatment – control / treatment ×100)

		Biologica	ıl yield	Seed	yield	Straw	yield
L.S.D. at =	=	1%	5%	1%	5%	1%	5%
Treatments (T.)	=	0.66	0.49	0.31	0.23	0.39	0.29
Rates (R.) =	=	0.33	0.25	0.16	0.12	0.20	0.15
T. × R. =	=	0.93	0.69	0.44	0.33	0.55	0.41

Treatments	Rates of application	Biological yield (kg plot ⁻¹)			yield plot-1)	Straw yield (kg plot ⁻¹)	
	(g or cm ³ kg ⁻¹ seeds)	Mean	YOC %	Mean	YOC %	Mean	YOC %
Carboxin+thiram	1.75 cm ³	11.07	63.87	5.72	62.41	5.35	65.42
(Tendro 40% FS)	3.50 cm ³	11.55	65.37	6.00	64.17	5.55	66.67
Fludioxonil+metalaxyl-M	0.50 cm ³	10.05	60.20	5.35	59.81	4.70	60.64
(Maxim XL 3.5% FS)	1.00 cm ³	10.87	63.20	5.62	61.74	5.25	64.76
Tebuconazole	0.50 cm ³	8.70	54.02	4.50	52.22	4.20	55.95
(Hattric 6% FS)	1.00 cm ³	9.70	58.76	5.00	57.00	4.70	60.64
Tolclofos-methyl+thiram	1.50 g	11.40	64.91	5.90	63.56	5.50	66.36
(Rizolex-T 50% WP)	3.00 g	11.75	65.96	6.05	64.46	5.70	67.54
Bacillus subtilis	2.00 g	7.53	46.88	3.98	45.98	3.55	47.89
(Rhizo-N)	4.00 g	8.58	53.38	4.43	51.47	4.15	55.42
Trichoderma asperellum	1.00 g	6.75	40.74	3.55	39.44	3.20	42.19
(Biocontrol T 34 12% WP)	2.00 g	7.87	49.17	4.12	47.82	3.75	50.67
Trichoderma harzianum	1.25 cm ³	5.15	22.33	2.93	26.62	2.22	16.67
(Plant guard)	2.50 cm ³	6.53	38.74	3.48	38.22	3.05	39.34
Control		4.00		2.15		1.85	

Table 6. Effect of treatments on biological yield, seed yield and straw yield of common bean under field conditions during season (2019).

Biological yield = weight of all plants in each plot (kg polt⁻¹) Seed yield = weight of seeds in each plot (kg polt⁻¹) Straw yield = weight of straw in each plot (kg polt⁻¹) YOC % = yield over control (treatment – control / treatment

		Biological yield		Seed yield		Straw yield	
L.S.D. at	=	1%	5%	1%	5%	1%	5%
Treatments (T.)	=	0.35	0.26	0.23	0.17	0.22	0.16
Rates (R.)	=	0.17	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.08
T. × R.	=	0.49	0.36	0.32	0.24	0.31	0.23

فاعلية بعض مبيدات الفطريات الكياوية والحيوية في مكافحة مرضى موت البادرات وعفن الجذور في الفاصوليا تحت ظروف الحقل رمضان مصطفى عبده الخولى , أحمد محمود إبراهيم السهاديسى , عبد اللطيف عبده رمضان هلاليه , محمود محمد محمود حسوبة * قسم وقاية النبات، كلية الزراعة بالقاهرة، جامعة الأزهر ، القاهرة ، مصر * البريد الإليكترونى للباحث الرئيسى:<u>hassuba@azhar.edu.eg</u>

الملخص العربى

أجريت التجارب الحقلية في قرية خالد بن الوليد، مدينة بدر، محافظة البحيرة وذلك لتقييم فاعلية أربعة من مبيدات الفطريات الكياوية وثلاثة من المركبات الحيوية لمكافحة مرضى موت البادرات وعفن الجذور في الفاصوليا والتي تسببها فطريات الفيوزاريوم سولاني، الريزوكتونيا سولاني، البثيوم التيم والأسكليروشيوم رولفساى في الحقل وذلك خلال موسمي 2018 و2019 كانت مبيدات الفطريات المستخدمة هي هاتريك 6% FS وماكسيم اكس ال والمسكليروشيوم رولفساى في الحقل وذلك خلال موسمي 2018 و2019 كانت مبيدات الفطريات المستخدمة هي هاتريك 6% FS وماكسيم اكس ال والمسيدات الكياوية أو المركبات الحيوية باستخدام معدلين للتطبيق. أوضحت النتائج أن المبيدات الكياوية كانت فعالة أكثر من المبيدات الحيوية وكانت جميع المبيدات الكياوية أو المركبات الحيوية باستخدام معدلين للتطبيق. أوضحت النتائج أن المبيدات الكياوية كانت فعالة أكثر من المبيدات الحيوية وكانت جميع المبيدات المستخدمة وبصفة خاصة الريزولكس تي والتندرو والماكسيم اكس ال كانت معنوية في خفض موت البادرات قبل وبعد الإنبثاق وكذلك عدد المبيدات المستخدمة وبصفة خاصة الريزولكس تي والتندرو والماكسيم اكس ال كانت معنوية في خفض موت البادرات قبل وبعد الإنبثاق وكذلك عدد البيدات المابة بأعفان الجذور وزادت عدد الباتات السليمة. ونتج عن هذة المكافحة زيادة المحصول البيولوجي ومحصول البذور ومحصول القش مقارنتة من ذلك فإن جميع المبيدات الموضعة النتائج أن جميع المبيدات الكياوية وخصوصاً مبيد هاتريك قد سبب خفض في عدد العقد المكتيرية، وعلى النتيض مالباتات المصابة بأعفان الجذور وزادت عدد البتاتات السليمة. ونتج عن هذة المكافحة زيادة المحصول البيولوجي ومحصول المن معارنت معن ذلك فإن جميع المبيدات الجدور وزادت من عدد العقد المكتيرية. كما أوضحت النتائج المالية إلى أن جميع المبيدات الحيوية في معاد أوضعت النتائج أن جميع المبيدات الكياوية وخصوصاً مبيد هاتريك قد سبب خفض في عدد العقد المكتيرية، وعلى النتيض من ذلك فإن جميع الميدلات الأقل وعموما كانت مبيدات الكياوية أحسن من المبيدات الحيوية في ممالحة مرضى موت البادرات وعفن الجذور على المعدلات العالية عن المعدلات الأقل وعوماكانت مبيدات الكياوية أحسن من المبيدات الحيوية في ممالجان وعن الجذور

الكلمات الاسترشادية: الفاصوليا، موت البادرات، عفن الجذور، مبيدات الفطريات، والمركبات الحيوية.